

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Existence of phase transitions in a model three-component solution

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1985 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 3585 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/18/18/020)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 17:06

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Existence of phase transitions in a model three-component solution

Dale A Huckaby, Jacek M Kowalski<sup>†</sup> and Masato Shinmi Department of Chemistry, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas 76129, USA

Received 10 May 1985

**Abstract.** A model three-component solution is considered in which the bonds of the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (d=2 or 3) are covered by rod-like molecules of types AA, BB or AB. The ends of molecules near a common lattice site interact with energies  $\varepsilon_{AA}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{BB}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{AB}$ . The model is equivalent to an Ising model on a line graph with coupling constants  $J_1 = (\varepsilon_{AA} + \varepsilon_{BB} - 2\varepsilon_{AB})/4$  and  $\mu_1 = (2\mu_{AB} - \mu_{AA} - \mu_{BB})/4$ , and with a field  $h_1 = (2d-1)(\varepsilon_{BB} - \varepsilon_{AA})/4 - (\mu_{BB} - \mu_{AA})/4$ . The Peierls argument is used to prove that demixing into a pure AA and a pure BB phase occurs at sufficiently low temperatures if  $J_1 < 0$ ,  $\mu_1 < 0$  and  $h_1 = 0$ . If  $J_1 < 0$  and  $\mu_1 > |h_1|$ , an ordered phase consisting of only AB molecules is proved to exist at sufficiently low temperatures.

## 1. Introduction

Wheeler and Widom (1968) introduced a lattice model of a three-component solution containing rod-like molecules of types AA, BB and AB. Each bond of the lattice is covered by a single molecule. The ends of molecules near a common lattice site interact with energy  $\varepsilon_{AA}$  if both ends are of type A,  $\varepsilon_{BB}$  if both ends are of type B and  $\varepsilon_{AB}$  if one end is of type A and the other end is of type B. A typical configuration for such a system is illustrated in figure 1 for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .



Figure 1. Configuration of molecules on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA.

0305-4470/85/183585+07\$02.25 © 1985 The Institute of Physics

Under the simplifying assumption that a type A and a type B molecular end near a common site repel infinitely ( $\varepsilon_{AB} \rightarrow \infty$ ), and that like ends do not interact ( $\varepsilon_{AA} = \varepsilon_{BB} =$ 0), the model has only two reduced activities as thermodynamic variables and can be easily mapped onto the standard Ising model on the same lattice. The bulk and interfacial properties of the model in this special case have been investigated by Wheeler and Widom (1968) and Widom (1984).

We consider the model with general finite interactions  $\varepsilon_{AA}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{BB}$  and  $\varepsilon_{AB}$ . We (Huckaby and Kowalski 1984) studied the zeros of the grand partition function for this model and, using some results of Heilmann (1971) and Ruelle (1973), proved that there are no phase transitions if  $\varepsilon_{AB} \leq (\varepsilon_{AA} + \varepsilon_{BB})/2$ . In this paper we shall prove that if  $\varepsilon_{AB} > (\varepsilon_{AA} + \varepsilon_{BB})/2$ , then ordered phases exist at sufficiently low temperatures for certain ranges of the chemical potential differences  $\mu_{AB} - \mu_{AA}$  and  $\mu_{AB} - \mu_{BB}$ . Since vacant sites are not allowed, the model is considered in the limit in which the chemical potentials  $\mu_{AA}$ ,  $\mu_{BB}$  and  $\mu_{AB}$  all tend to infinity; however, differences such as  $\mu_{AB} - \mu_{AA}$  or  $\mu_{AB} - \mu_{BB}$  are finite thermodynamic variables which determine the relative concentrations of the three molecular species at equilibrium.

#### 2. Ising representation of the model

As mentioned by Wheeler and Widom (1968), the general model with finite interactions can be formulated as an Ising model on a decorated lattice. Although we previously treated the model as a lattice gas (Huckaby and Kowalski 1984), it is convenient in the present context to consider instead the Ising representation. For simplicity, we shall consider the model only on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (d = 2 or 3). The decorated lattice  $\Lambda_2$  corresponding to the model on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is illustrated in figure 2. The *d*-dimensional lattice  $\Lambda_d$  is a line graph, i.e. it can be covered by a set of complete graphs such that each vertex of  $\Lambda_d$ is covered by exactly two complete graphs. (A complete graph  $C_v$  is a graph containing v vertices together with links joining every pair of vertices.) The complete graphs  $C_2$ and  $C_{2d}$  form covering sets for the line graph  $\Lambda_d$  associated with the model on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , where each site of  $\Lambda_d$  is covered by a vertex from one  $C_2$  and from one  $C_{2d}$  graph.

If we let  $S_i = +1$  ( $S_i = -1$ ) indicate that site  $i \in \Lambda_d$  is occupied by a type A (type B) molecular end, then we can formally write the grand canonical partition function



Figure 2. Line graph  $\Lambda_2$  associated with the model on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The square region enclosed by a dotted line is referred to in the Peierls argument of § 4.

for the model on  $\Lambda_d$  as

$$\Xi_{\Lambda_d} = \sum_{\{S_i\}} \exp(-H_{\Lambda_d}(\{S_i\})/kT), \tag{1}$$

where the Hamiltonian is given as

$$H_{\Lambda_{d}}(\{S_{i}\}) = \sum_{(i,j)\in C_{2d}} \{\varepsilon_{AA}(1+S_{i})(1+S_{j}) + \varepsilon_{BB}(1-S_{i})(1-S_{j}) + \varepsilon_{AB}[(1-S_{i})(1+S_{j}) + (1+S_{i})(1-S_{j})]\}/4$$
  
$$- \sum_{(i,j)\in C_{2}} \{\mu_{AA}(1+S_{i})(1+S_{j}) + \mu_{BB}(1-S_{i})(1-S_{j}) + \mu_{AB}[(1-S_{i})(1+S_{j}) + (1+S_{i})(1-S_{j})]\}/4.$$
 (2)

Collecting terms in equation (2), we see that the Hamiltonian, except for a constant term, can be written as

$$H_{\Lambda_d}^{\mathrm{I}}(\{S_i\}) = J_{\mathrm{I}} \sum_{(i,j) \in C_{2d}} S_i S_j + \mu_{\mathrm{I}} \sum_{(i,j) \in C_2} S_i S_j - h_{\mathrm{I}} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_d} S_i,$$
(3)

where  $J_{I} = (\varepsilon_{AA} + \varepsilon_{BB} - 2\varepsilon_{AB})/4$ ,  $\mu_{I} = (2\mu_{AB} - \mu_{AA} - \mu_{BB})/4$  and  $h_{I} = (2d-1)(\varepsilon_{BB} - \varepsilon_{AA})/4 - (\mu_{BB} - \mu_{AA})/4$ . Hence, the Wheeler-Widom model is equivalent to an Ising model on a line graph composed of complete graphs  $C_{2}$  and  $C_{2d}$ , each of which has a different coupling constant.

We have previously shown (Huckaby and Kowalski 1984) that the model has no phase transition if  $J_1 \ge 0$ . The Lee-Yang circle theorem (Lee and Yang 1952) suffices to show that there are also no phase transitions if  $J_1 < 0$ ,  $\mu_1 < 0$  and  $h_1 \ne 0$ .

In § 3 we shall show that, for a range of the parameters  $J_1$ ,  $\mu_1$  and  $h_1$ , the ground states of the Hamiltonian of equation (3) correspond to ordered structures which are two-fold degenerate. In § 4 we use the Peierls argument to prove the existence of ordered phases for low-temperature regions of  $(J_1, \mu_1, h_1, T)$  space for which the ground states (T=0) are two-fold degenerate. The original treatment of Peierls (1936) has been generalised and modified to treat a large class of lattice models (Griffiths 1964, Dobrushin 1968, Heilmann 1972, 1974, Sinai 1982, Holsztynski and Slawny 1978, Fröhlich *et al* 1980). Since the ground states we consider are related either by spin inversion or by translation, then the methods described by Griffiths (1964) and Dobrushin (1968) are sufficient to prove the existence of ordered phases in the present model. Since the model is disordered at sufficiently high temperatures, then there are order-disorder phase transitions in the model.

# 3. Ordered ground-state structures

A portion of  $\Lambda_d$  which consists of one  $C_{2d}$  graph together with the  $C_2$  graphs which border it will be called a 'star',  $\mathcal{G}_d$ . Stars for d = 2 and for d = 3 are illustrated in figure 3. We shall consider  $\Lambda_d$  to be a union of stars. This allows us to impose on  $\Lambda_d$ any of a large class of boundary conditions, including periodic boundaries. Since  $\Lambda_d$ is a union of stars, the Hamiltonian on  $\Lambda_d$  can then be written as a sum of Hamiltonians restricted to each star portion of  $\Lambda_d$ . The Hamiltonian restricted to a star  $\mathcal{G}_d$  is given as

$$H_{\mathcal{G}_d}(\{S_i\}) = J_1 \sum_{(ij) \in C_{2d}} S_i S_j + (\mu_1/2) \sum_{(ij) \in C_2} S_i S_j - (h_1/2) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}_d} S_i.$$
(4)



**Figure 3.** A star portion of  $\Lambda_d$  for which a restricted Hamiltonian is defined by equation (4). A star consists of one  $C_{2d}$  graph together with the  $C_2$  graphs which border it.

In equation (4), the factor of  $\frac{1}{2}$  which preceeds the second and third summations should be replaced by unity if site *i* or site *j* is on the boundary of  $\Lambda_d$ .

Let  $H_0$  denote the lowest value of the restricted Hamiltonian for a star portion of a configuration. Stars with this value will be called 'ground-state stars'. Stars with higher values of the restricted Hamiltonian,  $H_*$ , will be called 'excited-state stars'. The value  $\alpha_d = \min(H_* - H_0)$  will be of importance in the construction of the Peierls argument in § 4.

We see from equation (4) that a star composed of all AA molecules has the same value of the restricted Hamiltonian as a star composed of all BB molecules if and only if  $h_1 = 0$ . If  $h_1 = 0$ , then changing the configuration from an all AA star or an all BB star adds  $-J_1a - \mu_1b$  to the value of the restricted Hamiltonian, where a and b are both non-negative and not both zero. Therefore, a sufficient condition for an all AA star or an all AA star.

Conversely, suppose the all BB and all AA configurations are ground states of  $\Lambda_d$ . Comparing the Hamiltonian for the all BB configuration with one which is identical except that one BB molecule is replaced by an AA molecule, we see that  $J_1 < 0$  necessarily. By comparing the all BB configuration to one which is identical to it except that the type B molecular ends at the vertices of one  $C_{2d}$  graph are replaced by type A molecule ends, we see that  $\mu_1 < 0$  necessarily. Hence,  $h_1 = 0$ ,  $J_1 < 0$  and  $\mu_1 < 0$  are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the ground states of  $\Lambda_d$  to consist of all AA or all BB molecules.

A consideration of the possible configurations on a star indicates that if  $h_1 = 0$ ,  $J_1 < 0$  and  $\mu_1 < 0$ , then

$$\alpha_d = \min\{-\mu_{\rm I}, -(4d-2)J_{\rm I}\} > 0. \tag{5}$$

We shall next determine the region of  $(J_1, \mu_1, h_1)$  space for which  $\Lambda_d$  has a two-fold degenerate ground state corresponding to ordered configurations consisting of only AB molecules, where the vertices of each  $C_{2d}$  graph are occupied by either all type A or all type B molecular ends. It is clear from equation (3) that these two configurations on  $\Lambda_d$  have the same value of the Hamiltonian. Suppose these configurations are ground-state configurations. By comparing the Hamiltonian for one such configuration to that for a configuration which differs only in that the orientation of one AB molecule is switched, we see that  $J_1 < 0$  necessarily. Comparing one of the two configurations to one in which the type A or type B molecular ends at the vertices of one  $C_{2d}$  graph are replaced by the other type of end, we find that  $\mu_I > |h_I|$  necessarily.

In addition, we see from equation (4) that the two stars which are composed of AB molecules, such that the  $C_{2d}$  graph is occupied by only one type of molecular end, have the same value of the restricted Hamiltonian. Changing such a star configuration adds  $-J_1a + (\mu_1 \pm h_1)b$  to the value of the restricted Hamiltonian of equation (4), where a and b are both non-negative and not both zero. Therefore, sufficient conditions for a ground-state star to contain all AB molecules with the  $C_{2d}$  graph occupied by only one type of molecular end are that  $J_1 < 0$  and  $\mu_1 > |h_1|$ . These are then the necessary and sufficient conditions for the ground-state configurations on  $\Lambda_d$  to consist of only AB molecules, such that the vertices of each  $C_{2d}$  graph are occupied by either all type A or all type B molecular ends.

A consideration of the possible configurations on a star indicates that if  $J_1 < 0$  and  $\mu_1 > |h_1|$ , then

$$\alpha_d = \min\{\mu_1 - |h_1|, -(4d - 2)J_1\} > 0.$$
(6)

In the next section the values of  $\alpha_d$  given by equations (5) and (6) will be used in a Peierls argument to prove that the ordered ground-state structures discussed above persist as ordered phases at sufficiently low temperatures.

#### 4. Existence of ordered phases

In this section we shall construct a Perierls argument to prove that ordered phases exist in the model at sufficiently low temperatures in regions of  $(J_1, \mu_1, h_1, T)$  space for which the corresponding ground states (T = 0) have the ordered structures discussed in § 3.

Since the notion of a contour is central to the Peierls argument, we now define what we mean by a contour in a configuration. For the two-dimensional lattice  $\Lambda_2$ , the square region  $r_2$  outlined by dots in figure 2 is said to be associated with the star  $\mathscr{P}_2$  which has its  $C_4$  portion interior to  $r_2$ . Analogously in three dimensions, a cubic region  $r_3$  is associated with each star  $\mathscr{P}_3$  ir.  $\Lambda_3$ . If  $\mathscr{P}_d$  is an excited-state star, then the associated region  $r_d$  is said to be a contour segment. Two contour segments are said to be connected in the two-dimensional case if they share a confimult vertex and in the three-dimensional case if they share a common edge. A simply connected set of contour segments constitutes a contour. A contour is said to be closed if its outer border does not intersect the border of  $\Lambda_d$ . A closed contour which is not surrounded by another closed contour is said to be an outer contour.

Let  $O_{AA}$  ( $O_{BB}$ ) denote the ordered structure in which every bond of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  contains an AA molecule (BB molecule). Let  $O_{AB}$  denote the structure in which every bond of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  contains an AB molecule such that each  $C_{2d}$  of  $\Lambda_d$  is occupied by either all type A or all type B molecular ends and such that the origin is occupied by a type A molecular end. Then let  $O_{BA}$  denote the structure which is related to  $O_{AB}$  by a unit translation of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

If  $N_{\delta}$  is the number of bonds of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  which contain molecules which belong to an ordered structure  $O_{\delta}$  on a lattice containing  $|\Lambda_d|/2$  such bonds, then as discussed by Dobrushin (1968) and Griffiths (1972), there is an ordered phase in the thermodynamic limit if  $\langle N_{\delta} \rangle / (|\Lambda_d|/2) > \frac{1}{2}$ , where there are two symmetrically related ordered structures, and where the thermal average is taken only over configurations in which the outer

boundary of the lattice contains the ordered structure  $O_{\delta}$ . Convergence proofs of series expansions suffice to show that the model is disordered at high temperatures, thereby proving the existence of an order-disorder transition in the model.

If the stars on the boundary are occupied by one of the four structures  $O_{\delta}$  discussed above, then all bonds of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing molecules not belonging to  $O_{\delta}$  are enclosed by (or embedded within) an outer contour  $\gamma$ . As a consequence,

$$\left|\Lambda_{d}\right|/2 - \langle N_{\delta} \rangle < \sum m_{|\gamma|} n_{|\gamma|} P_{\gamma}, \tag{7}$$

where  $m_{|\gamma|}$  is the maximum number of molecules enclosed by (or embedded in) a contour of length  $|\gamma|$ ,  $n_{|\gamma|}$  is the number of contours containing  $|\gamma|$  segments, and  $P_{\gamma}$  is an upper bound to the probability that a given contour of length  $|\gamma|$  occurs in a configuration.

The maximum number of molecules  $m_{|\gamma|}$  contained within a contour of length  $|\gamma|$  is not greater than the number of molecules contained within a *d*-dimensional isoperimetric spherical region. A maximum of three edges of a contour segment  $r_2$  can be on the outer border of a contour in  $\Lambda_2$ , and a maximum of five faces of a contour segment  $r_3$  can be on the outer border of a contour in  $\Lambda_3$ . The number of molecules enclosed by a closed contour does not exceed half the number of sites of  $\Lambda_d$  enclosed by the contour. A simple calculation then gives  $m_{|\gamma|} < (9/2\pi)|\gamma|^2$  in two dimensions and  $m_{|\gamma|} < (5^{3/2}/2\pi^{1/2})|\gamma|^{3/2}$  in three dimensions.

To obtain an upper bound to  $n_{|\gamma|}$ , first assign a number to each region  $r_d$  in  $\Lambda_d$ . There are at most  $|\Lambda_d|/(2d)$  such regions at which a contour can be begun. To continue the contour, add any segments which are connected to the segment of the growing contour which has the lowest number assigned to it. Without regard to the molecular configurations of the contour, there are  $2^8$  ways to continue a contour in  $\Lambda_2$  and  $2^{18}$  ways to continue a contour in  $\Lambda_3$ . The process is terminated when the contour contains  $|\gamma|$  segments. There are at most  $2^{4d|\gamma|}$  configurations for a contour containing  $|\gamma|$  segments. Hence,  $n_{|\gamma|} < [|\Lambda_d|/(2d|\gamma|)]2^{-8}2^{16|\gamma|}$  in two dimensions, and  $n_{|\gamma|} < [|\Lambda_d|/(2d|\gamma|)]2^{-18}2^{30|\gamma|}$  in three dimensions. The division by  $|\gamma|$  results since the choice of the first segment is arbitrary. Substituting for  $m_{|\gamma|}$  and  $n_{|\gamma|}$  in equation (7) yields

$$2\langle N_{\delta}\rangle/|\Lambda_{d}| > 1 - a_{d} \sum_{|\gamma|} b_{d}^{|\gamma|}|\gamma|^{1/(d-1)} P_{\gamma}$$
(8)

where  $a_d$  and  $b_d$  are constants.

We now proceed to calculate an upper bound to  $P_{\gamma}$ . Suppose the boundary is composed of a ground-state structure  $O_{\delta}$ . Let  $C_{\gamma}$  be the set of configurations which contain an outer contour  $\gamma$ . With each configuration  $\xi \in C_{\gamma}$  we associate a configuration  $\xi^* \in C_{\gamma}^*$  (this is a 1-1 correspondence) generated in the following manner. If  $O_{\delta} = O_{AA}$ , change all  $O_{BB}$  regions (together with their interiors) that border  $\gamma$  by replacing all type A (type B) molecular ends with type B (type A) molecular ends. If  $O_{\delta} = O_{AB}$ , change all  $O_{BA}$  regions (together with their interiors) that border  $\gamma$  by translating each such region by a unit lattice spacing in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . After performing one or the other of the above operations, then replace the contour  $\gamma$  with structure  $O_{\delta}$ . The resulting configuation  $\xi^*$  has the property that

$$H_{\Lambda_d}(\xi) - H_{\Lambda_d}(\xi^*) \ge \alpha_d |\gamma|, \tag{9}$$

where  $\alpha_d$  is given by equation (5) if  $O_{\delta} = O_{AA}$  or  $O_{\delta} = O_{BB}$  and by equation (6) if  $O_{\delta} = O_{AB}$  or  $O_{\delta} = O_{BA}$ .

Hence

$$P_{\gamma} \equiv \Xi_{\Lambda_d}^{-1} \sum_{\xi \in C_{\gamma}} \exp(-H_{\Lambda_d}(\xi)/kT)$$

$$< \sum_{\xi \in C_{\gamma}} \exp(-H_{\Lambda_d}(\xi)/kT) \Big/ \sum_{\xi^* \in C_{\gamma}^*} \exp(-H_{\Lambda_d}(\xi^*)/kT)$$

$$\leq \exp(-\alpha_d |\gamma|/kT). \tag{10}$$

Equations (8) and (10) ensure that  $\langle N_{\delta} \rangle / (|\Lambda_d|/2) > \frac{1}{2}$  at sufficiently low temperatures.

Therefore, there are pure AA and pure BB phases in the model at sufficiently low temperatures if  $J_1 < 0$ ,  $\mu_1 < 0$  and  $h_1 = 0$ . In addition, if  $J_1 < 0$  and  $\mu_1 > |h_1|$ , there is an ordered pure AB phase in the model at sufficiently low temperatures.

## Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the Robert A Welch Foundation, grant P-446.

# References

Dobrushin R L 1968 Funct. Anal. Appl. 2 302
Fröhlich J, Israel R B, Lieb E H and Simon B 1980 J. Stat. Phys. 22 297
Griffiths R B 1964 Phys. Rev. 136A 437
— 1972 in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena ed C Domb and M S Green (New York: Academic)
Heilmann O J 1971 Stud. Appl. Math. L4 385
— 1972 Lett. Nuovo Cimento 3 95
— 1974 Commun. Math. Phys. 36 91
Holsztynski W and Slawny J 1978 Commun. Math. Phys. 61 177
Huckaby D A and Kowalski J M 1984 Phys. Rev. A 30 2121
Lee T D and Yang C N 1952 Phys. Rev. 87 410
Peierls R 1936 Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 32 477
Ruelle D 1973 Commun. Math. Phys. 31 265
Sinai Ya G 1982 Theory of Phase Transitions: Rigorous Results (New York: Pergamon)
Wheeler J C and Widom B 1968 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90 3064
Widom B 1984 J. Phys. Chem. 88 6508